



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/611,755	06/30/2003	Michael F. Ludwig	BOEI-1-1188	5039
75	590 12/21/2005		EXAM	INER
Frank J. Bozzo	-		PYO, MO	NICA M
816 Second Ave	E & GRAHAM PLLC enue	OIPE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Seattle, WA 9	98104	To The state of th	2161	
		(JAN 04 2006)	DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005	5
		A STATE OF THE STA		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED OIPE/IAP

JAN 0 4 2006



	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/611,755	LUDWIG ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Monica M. Pyo	2161		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period fo	or Reply
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). The reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
Status	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 June 2003</u> .
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	on of Claims
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-57</u> is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-57</u> is/are rejected.
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
	on Papers
•	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 June 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 11/18/2003. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:

Art Unit: 2161

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-57 are present for examination.
- 2. Claims 1-57 are rejected.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 11/18/2003 was filed.

Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

However, the examiner requests for an explanation of the relevancy of the submitted "Mast Test Plan" document to the applicant's invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1, 16 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding Claims 1, 16 and 28, the term "test plan listing" in claims 1, 16 and 28 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "test plan listing" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. For the examining purpose, the examiner will treat the term "test plan listing" as the list contained within the test plan document.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,755 Page 3

Art Unit: 2161

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. 6,701,514 issued to Haswell et al. (hereafter Haswell).

Claim 1:

Regarding Claim 1, Haswell discloses a method for generating a test plan document for governing adherence with project specifications, the method comprising (col. 2, lns. 22-53):

- storing in a test plan database a plurality of verification activities for monitoring adherence with project specifications (col. 59, lns. 35-48; col. 73, lns. 52-56);
- entering references to the project specifications into the test plan database (col. 59, lns. 49-63; col. 73, lns. 20-22 Haswell illustrates the <user requirements> corresponding to project specifications);
- providing access to the test plan database to a plurality of users (col. 73, lns. 56-61);
- updating the test plan database based on input from the plurality of users (col. 74, lns. 16-35); and

Art Unit: 2161

generating a test plan listing at least one of the verification activities stored from the test plan database (col. 73, lns. 20-29; col. 74, lns. 38-47).

Claim 2:

Regarding Claim 2, Haswell discloses all the limitation of Claim 1, *supra*. Additionally, Haswell discloses: wherein the test plan database is maintained by a database manager program (col. 49, lns. 58-67).

Claim 3:

Regarding Claim 3, Haswell discloses all the limitation of Claim 1, *supra*. Additionally, Haswell discloses: wherein the project specifications are entered in to the test plan database by coupling a verification matrix database to the test plan database (col. 172, lns. 65-67; col. 173, lns. 1-17).

Claim 4:

Regarding Claim 4, Haswell discloses all the limitation of Claim 1, *supra*. Additionally, Haswell discloses: further comprising associating the verification activities with at least one of the project specifications (col. 72, lns. 1-25; col. 73, lns. 1-20, 20-22).

Claim 5:

Regarding Claim 5, Haswell discloses all the limitation of Claim 1, *supra*. Additionally, Haswell discloses: wherein access to at least one secured verification activity is provided to a secured user (col. 64, lns. 25-31; col. 73, lns. 20-28; col. 93, lns. 60-67; col. 94, lns. 1-9).

Claim 6:

Regarding Claim 6, Haswell discloses all the limitation of Claim 5, *supra*. Additionally, Haswell discloses: wherein an administrator assigns the access of the secured user to the at least

Art Unit: 2161

one secured verification activity (col. 64; lns. 25-31; col. 177, lns. 41-51; col. 78, lns. 29-38, 64-67).

Claim 7:

Regarding Claim 7, Haswell discloses all the limitation of Claim 1, *supra*. Additionally, Haswell discloses: further comprising providing a user-selectable attribute assignable to the verification activities (col. 72, lns. 10-25; col. 73, lns. 20-28).

Claim 8:

Regarding Claim 8, Haswell discloses all the limitation of Claim 7, *supra*. Additionally, Haswell discloses: further comprising providing a predetermined range of values for the user-selectable attribute (col. 15, lns. 50-60; col. 16, lns. 26-35).

Claim 9:

Regarding Claim 8, Haswell discloses all the limitation of Claim 7, *supra*. Additionally, Haswell discloses: wherein the verification activities are accessible by specifying a desired value of the user-selectable attribute (col. 15, lns. 18-30; col. 18, lns. 14-16).

Claim 10:

Regarding Claim 10, Haswell discloses all the limitation of Claim 7, *supra*. Additionally, Haswell discloses: wherein the verification activities are sortable by the user-selectable attribute.

Claim 11:

Regarding Claim 11, Haswell discloses all the limitation of Claim 7, *supra*. Additionally, Haswell discloses: wherein the user-selectable attribute is a verification activity category (col. 14, lns. 63-67; col. 15, lns. 1-17).

Claim 12:

Art Unit: 2161

Regarding Claim 12, Haswell discloses all the limitation of Claim 1, *supra*. Additionally, Haswell discloses: wherein the input from the plurality of users includes one of changed text, revised text, removed text, inserted non-text media, revised non-text media, and removed non-text media (col. 16, lns. 26-49; col. 50, lns. 33-43; fig. 9 – Haswell discloses editing specific test script which corresponds to <changed text>; also discloses propagating instantly to all scripts and reporting results of test which correspond to <input from the users>).

Claim 13:

Regarding Claim 13, Haswell discloses all the limitation of Claim 1, *supra*. Additionally, Haswell discloses: wherein the verification activities include at least one of verification activity identifier, a responsible party, a measurement desired, a measurement standard, a date for initiation, a date for conclusion, and a verification activity description (col. 53, lns. 7-26; col. 55, lns. 1-9).

Claim 14:

Regarding Claim 14, Haswell discloses all the limitation of Claim 1, *supra*. Additionally, Haswell discloses: further comprising extracting subset test plans describing less than all of the verification activities (col. 14, lns. 63-67; col. 15, lns. 1-27 – Haswell discloses the test scenarios which contain a plurality of test components).

Claim 15:

Regarding Claim 15, Haswell discloses all the limitation of Claim 1, *supra*. Additionally, Haswell discloses: further comprising communicating the verification activities to a test tracking database (col. 51, lns. 9-13, 24-31; col. 52, lns. 60-67; col. 53, lns. 1-6).

Claim 16:

Art Unit: 2161

Regarding Claim 16, Haswell discloses a method for generating a test plan document for governing adherence with project specifications, the method comprising:

- storing in a test plan database a plurality of verification activities for monitoring adherence with project specifications (col. 59, lns. 35-48; col. 73, lns. 52-56);
- entering references to the project specifications into the test plan database (col. 59, lns. 49-63; col. 73, lns. 20-22 Haswell illustrates the <user requirements> corresponding to project specifications);
- associating each of the verification activities with at least one of the project specifications (col. 72, lns. 1-25; col. 73, lns. 1-20, 20-22);
- providing access to the test plan database to a plurality of users (col. 73, lns. 56-61);
- providing a user-selectable attribute assignable to the verification activities (col. 72, lns. 10-25; col. 73, lns. 20-28);
- receiving input from at least one of the plurality of users, the input being associated with at least one of the verification activities (col. 16, lns. 26-49; col. 50, lns. 33-43; fig. 9);
- updating the test plan database based on the input (col. 74, lns. 16-35); and
- generating a test plan listing at least one of the verification activities stored from the test plan database (col. 73, lns. 20-29; col. 74, lns. 38-47).

Claims 17-19:

Art Unit: 2161

Regarding Claims 17-19, these claims are also rejected based upon the same reasoning as Claims 3, 5 and 6, *supra*. Claims 17-19 are claims reciting the same limitation as the Claims 3, 5 and 6.

Claims 20-27:

Regarding Claims 20-27, these claims are also rejected based upon the same reasoning as Claims 8-15, *supra*. Claims 20-27 are claims reciting the same limitation as the Claims 8-15. Claims 28-42 and 43-57:

Regarding Claims 28-42 and 43-57, these claims are also rejected based upon the same reasoning as Claims 1-15, *supra*. Claims 28-42 and 43-57 are claims reciting the same limitation as the Claims 1-15.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica M. Pyo whose telephone number is 571-272-8192. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 6:30 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 571-272-4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2161

Page 9

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Monica M Pyo Examiner Art Unit 2161

mp 12/12/2005

BCO

SAFET METUAND SUPERVISORY PATENT CHARGE TO TECHNOLOGY OF THE 200

PTO/SB/08A (10-01)

Pages, Columns, Lines, Where

Relevant Passages or Relevant

Figures Appeal

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Petent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB Under the Pape control number.

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Publication Date

MM-DD-YYYY

Name of Patentee or

Applicant of Cited Document

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO

Examiner Cite

No.

US-

Initials

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(use as many sheets as necessary)

Sheet of

Document Number

Number - Kind Code² (if know

Complete if Known					
Application Number	10/611,755				
Filing Date	June 30, 2003				
First Named Inventor	Michael F. Ludwig				
Art Unit	2133				
Examiner Name					
Attorney Docket Number	BOEI-1-1188				

	US-							/]
	US-								
<u> </u>	US-							/	
<u> </u>	US-								
<u> </u>	US-					/			
	US-					X			
l	US-							<u></u>	
	US-								
<u> </u>	US-								
	us-	<u> </u>							
	US-	ļ			\angle				
	US-								
	US-								
	US-								
	US-								
<u> </u>	US-								
	US-								
	US-				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
L	US-								
		5555		DATENT D		451170			_
·	1		<u>IGN</u>	PATENT D	1				
Examiner Cite	Foreign Patent Do		Pub	lication Date		ame of Patentee or		Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	
Initials No. 1	Country Code 3 -Number 4 - Kind	Code (If known)	MN	I-DD-YYYY	Applic	ent of Cited Docum	nent	or Relevant Figures Appear	T 6
								7	
				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
	7								
	/								
Examiner					- 1	Date			
Signature						Considered			

[&]quot;EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Engish language Translation is attended to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the Individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ² See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.usplo.gov or MPEP 901.04. ³ Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴ For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁶ Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

PTC/SB/08B (10-01)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Sheet

Compl te if Known Substitute for form 1449B/PTO 10/611,755 **Application Number** INFORMATION DISCLOSURE June 30, 2003 Michael F. Ludwig Filing Date STATEMENT BY APPLICANT **First Named Inventor** 2133 **Group Art Unit** (use as many sheets as necessary) Examiner Name Attorney Docket Number of **BOEI-1-1188**

	1	OTHER PRIOR ART - NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	η-
Examiner Initials	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the ltem (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), nublisher, city and/or country where published.	1
mp.		U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, Appendix VI from FAA-STD-024B (August 22, 1994).	
		·	
ļ			
	<u> </u>		L
Examine Signatur	r	MOGMAKimfield Date Considered 12/08/05.	

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.



¹ Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 10/611,755 Examiner Monica M. Pyo Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination LUDWIG ET AL. Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-6,701,514 B1	03-2004	Haswell et al.	717/115
*	В	US-2002/0152456 A1	10-2002	Nightingale et al.	717/135
	С	US-			
	D	US-			
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

			•	ONLIGHT I ATENT BOO		
*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	Z					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	υ	
	V	
	w	
	x	

^{*}A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.